



EXHIBIT 2

Example 18: Process claim where the novelty is in the method steps.

Specification: The specification teaches a method for producing proteins using mitochondria from the fungus *Neurospora crassa*. In the method, mitochondria are isolated from this fungus and transformed with a mitochondrial expression vector which comprises a nucleic acid encoding a protein of interest. The protein is subsequently expressed, the mitochondria is lysed, and the protein is isolated. The specification exemplifies the expression of β -galactosidase using the claimed method using a cytochrome oxidase promoter.

Claim:

1. A method of producing a protein of interest comprising;
 - obtaining *Neurospora crassa* mitochondria,
 - transforming said mitochondria with a expression vector comprising a nucleic acid that encodes said protein of interest,
 - expressing said protein in said mitochondria, and
 - recovering said protein of interest.

Analysis:

A review of the specification reveals that *Neurospora crassa* mitochondrial gene expression is essential to the function/operation of the claimed invention. A particular nucleic acid is not essential to the claimed invention.

A search of the prior art reveals that the claimed method of expression in *Neurospora crassa* is novel and unobvious.

The claim is drawn to a genus, i.e., any of a variety of methods that can be used for expressing protein in the mitochondria.

There is actual reduction to practice of a single embodiment, i.e., the expression of β -galactosidase.

The art indicates that there is no substantial variation within the genus because there are a limited number of ways to practice the process steps of the claimed invention.

The single embodiment is representative of the genus based on the disclosure of *Neurospora crassa* mitochondria as a gene expression system, considered along with the level of skill and knowledge in the gene expression art. One of skill in the art would recognize that applicant was in possession of all of the various expression methods necessary to practice the claimed invention.

Conclusion:

The claimed invention is adequately described.